

THE INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH GROUP ON WOOD PROTECTION

Section 2

Testing Methodology and Assessment

Western red cedar

**Natural durable timber – Field test results
IRG/WP Durability Database**

Morris, P.I.; Ingram, J.K.; Laks, P.E.; Larkin, G.M.

Disclaimer

The responsibility for the data presented in this paper falls to the authors exclusively. The data presented are raw test data and intended to get used for scientific purposes only.

**IRG SECRETARIAT
Box 5609
SE-114 86 Stockholm
Sweden
www.irg-wp.org**

AIMS AND SCOPE OF THE IRG-WP DURABILITY DATABASE

The overall aim of the IRG-WP durability data base is the allocation of wood durability test results for comparative studies and re-analyses. The data base shall serve as pool for service life prediction and modelling and shall contribute to an enhanced understanding of wood durability. It is an open web-based platform for scientific exchange in the field of wood durability and wood protection.

It is NOT the aim of the data base to promote or denigrate any product or material. The data base will contain raw data only; no statistical evaluation will be included. Thus it will be the exclusive responsibility of the user to interpret the test results published in the data base.

For each data set, the full range of information about the test method, the test material, and other relevant parameters, is required to guarantee reliability of the data. For this reason every data set submitted is reviewed and checked for completeness of all relevant data.

The database allows submission of assessment data from all kinds of standardized and non-standardized wood durability tests.

Records of the IRG/WP Durability data base shall be cited as in the following example:

Brischke C., Meyer L. (2013) Douglas fir. Natural durable timber - Field test results. IRG/WP Durability Database. Stockholm: The International Research Group on Wood Protection, IRG/WP/DDB 13-00001.

INFORMATION

Submission Date 05.06.2015

AUTHORS

Corresponding author

Paul I. Morris

Email paul.morris@fpinnovations.ca

Institution FPInnovations

Street/PO Box 2665 East Mall

City Vancouver

State British Columbia

Zip Code V6T 1Z4

Country Canada

Author 2

Janet K. Ingram

Email janet.ingram@fpinnovations.ca

Institution FPInnovations

Street/PO Box 2665 East Mall

City Vancouver

State British Columbia

Zip Code V6T 1Z4

Country Canada

Author 3

Peter E. Laks

Email plaks@mtu.edu

Institution Michigan Technological University

Street/PO Box 1400 Townsend Drive

City Houghton

State	Michigan
Zip Code	49931-1295
Country	United States of America

Author 4	Glenn M. Larkin
Email	glarkin@mtu.edu
Institution	Michigan Technological University
Street/PO Box	1400 Townsend Drive
City	Houghton
State	Michigan
Zip Code	49931-1295
Country	United States of America

TESTED TIMBER

Trade name	Western red cedar
Botanical name	<i>Thuja plicata</i> Donn.
Origin	British Columbia, Canada
Number of replicates	20
Sampling (optional)	Commercial lumber. End-matched samples installed in Maple Ridge, British Columbia; Petawawa, Ontario; Gainesville, Florida and Mountain View/Kipuka, Hawaii
Timber quality (optional)	Old growth

REFERENCE TIMBER

Trade name	Ponderosa pine sapwood
Botanical name	<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>
Origin	British Columbia, Canada
Number of replicates	20

TEST METHODS

Standard method	AWPA E7-09
Reference	AWPA E7-09. Standard method of evaluating wood preservatives by field tests with stakes. American Wood Protection Association, Birmingham, AL.
Specimen dimension and shape	38 x 89 x 460 mm ³
Rating scheme	as per AWP E7-09: 10 (sound), 9.5 (trace of suspicion of attack), 9 (slight decay up to 3% of cross section), 8 (moderate decay from 3 to 10% of cross section), 7 (moderate/severe decay from 10 to 30% of cross section), 6 (severe decay from 30 to 50% of cross section), 4 (very severe decay from 50 to 75% of cross section), 0 (failure).
Address of test site	Maple Ridge (Malcolm Knapp Research Forest) Maple Ridge V4R 2R3 British Columbia Canada
Geographic coordinates (optional)	49° 16' 0,00''N 122° 34' 14,3''W
Start of test	October 1 2004
Last evaluation	September 1 2014
Status of test	Terminated

RESULTS

Assessment

Material	<i>Thuja plicata</i> Donn.					
Date	01.09.2005	01.09.2006	01.09.2007	01.09.2008	01.09.2009	01.09.2010
Assessment	1	2	3	4	5	6
Replicate ID	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]
1	9	7	8	8	6	7
2	9	9,5	8	9	8	7
3	9,5	9	9	9	9	8
4	9	9	8	8	7	7
5	9	9	9	8	7	7
6	9	9	9	8	8	7
7	9	9,5	9	9	9	8
8	9,5	9	9	8	9	8
9	9	9,5	9	9	8	8
10	9	9	9	9	8	9
11	9,5	9	8	9	8	7
12	9	8	9	9	8	8
13	10	9	9	8	8	7
14	9,5	9	9	9	8	8
15	9	9,5	9	9	8	7
16	9	8	8	8	8	7
17	9	9,5	9	9	9	8
18	9	9	8	7	6	6
19	8	8	7	7	7	7
20	9	9	9	8	6	7

Material	<i>Thuja plicata</i> Donn.					
Date	01.09.2011	01.09.2012	01.09.2013	01.09.2014		
Assessment	1	2	3	4	5	6
Replicate ID	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]
1	7	6	6	7		
2	7	7	7	6		
3	8	7	7	7		
4	7	6	6	6		
5	7	7	6	4		
6	7	7	7	7		
7	8	7	7	7		
8	8	8	8	7		
9	7	8	7	6		
10	9	8	7	7		
11	7	7	6	6		
12	8	7	7	7		
13	7	7	7	7		
14	8	8	7	7		
15	6	7	7	7		
16	8	7	7	6		
17	7	7	7	7		
18	7	6	6	6		
19	7	6	4	4		
20	7	6	6	6		

Assessment Reference

Material	<i>Pinus ponderosa</i> sapwood					
Date	01.09.2005	01.09.2006	01.09.2007	01.09.2008	01.09.2009	01.09.2010
Assessment	1	2	3	4	5	6
Replicate ID	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]
1	9,5	9	9	9	9	8
2	6	6	6	6	4	0
3	9	9	9	9	7	6
4	9	9	9	8	8	7
5	9	9	8	8	8	7
6	9	9	8	7	4	0
7	9	9	8	8	7	0
8	9	9	8	8	9	7
9	9	9	9	8	7	6
10	9	9	9	8	8	7
11	9	9	8	9	7	7
12	9	9	9	7	7	0
13	8	9	9	8	0	0
14	9	9	9	7	6	0
15	9	9	8	7	6	0
16	9	9	8	8	8	7
17	9	9	9	8	4	0
18	8	9	9	8	7	6
19	9	7	7	0	0	0
20	9	9	9	8	8	7

Material	<i>Pinus ponderosa</i> sapwood					
Date	01.09.2011	01.09.2012	01.09.2013	01.09.2014		
Assessment	1	2	3	4	5	6
Replicate ID	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]	[10-0]
1	7	6	6	6		
2	0	0	0	0		
3	6	6	0	0		
4	7	6	7	6		
5	6	0	0	0		
6	0	0	0	0		
7	0	0	0	0		
8	0	0	0	0		
9	4	0	0	0		
10	7	6	6	6		
11	7	7	7	6		
12	0	0	0	0		
13	0	0	0	0		
14	0	0	0	0		
15	0	0	0	0		
16	7	7	0	0		
17	0	0	0	0		
18	0	0	0	0		
19	0	0	0	0		
20	6	0	0	0		

REFERENCES

Laks P.E., Morris P.I., Larkin G.M., Ingram J.K. (2008) Field tests of naturally durable North American wood species. Stockholm: The International Research Group on Wood Protection, IRG/WP/ 08-10675.

Morris P.I., Ingram J.K., Larkin, G.M., Laks P.E. (2011) Field tests of naturally durable species. Forest Products Journal 61(5): 344-351.